

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

WILLIAM ARTHUR PARROTT,

Defendant.

**4:23CR3120**

**ORDER**

Defense counsel has moved to withdraw due to a conflict of interest. (Filing No. 17). Defendant is eligible for appointed counsel pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. §3006A, and the Amended Criminal Justice Act Plan for the District of Nebraska.

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1) Defense counsel's motion to appoint new counsel, (Filing No. 17), is granted. Korey Reiman is hereby withdrawn as counsel and shall promptly notify Defendant of the entry of this order.
- 2) The clerk shall delete Korey Reiman from any future ECF notifications herein.
- 3) The clerk shall forward this memorandum and order to the Federal Public Defender.
- 4) The Federal Public Defender shall forthwith provide the court with a draft appointment order (CJA Form 20) bearing the name and other identifying information of the CJA Panel attorney identified in accordance with the Criminal Justice Act Plan for this district. .
- 5) The newly appointed counsel shall promptly file an entry of appearance on behalf of Defendant.
- 6) Pretrial motions and briefs shall be filed on or before February 21, 2024.

- 7) The conference call previously scheduled to be held on February 8, 2024, is canceled. A telephonic conference with counsel will be held before the undersigned magistrate judge at 9:45 a.m. on February 28, 2024 to discuss setting any pretrial motion hearing needed, a change of plea hearing, or the date of the jury trial and deadlines for disclosing experts as required under Rule 16. Counsel for all parties shall use the conferencing instructions provided by the court to participate in the call.
- 8) The ends of justice served by granting the motion to continue outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, and the additional time arising as a result of the granting of the motion, the time between today's date and February 28, 2024 shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, because although counsel have been duly diligent, additional time is needed to adequately prepare this case for trial and failing to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1) & (h)(7). Failing to timely object to this order as provided under this court's local rules will be deemed a waiver of any right to later claim the time should not have been excluded under the Speedy Trial Act.

Dated this 31st day of January, 2024.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Jacqueline M. DeLuca  
United States Magistrate Judge